Comparative Analysis of Russian and Sanskrit Languages: Linguistic, Cultural, and Educational Affinity

Upendra Kumar

Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi

Email: uksethi.jnu@gmail.com

Chamandeep Pallav

School of Foreign Languages, IGNOU, New Delhi

Email: pallavchamandeep@gmail.com

Santosh Kumar

Email: santoshgoreserach@gmail.com

Abstract: This paper presents a comprehensive analysis of the linguistic and cultural affinities between Russian and Sanskrit, two powerful and ancient members of the vast Indo-European family of languages. By carefully exploring their common grammatical structures, profound lexical similarities, and deeply rooted cultural affinities, this analysis seeks to bring to light the lasting and complex affiliations that unite these languages. The study heavily draws on a large corpus of scholarly literature, ranging from the voluminous output of Dr. Chandan Suman, to provide an in-depth and balanced analysis. In addition, the present paper broadens its scope to encompass the teaching-learning implications of these affinities, borrowing from Dr. Suman's research on language learning strategies, artificial intelligence, multimedia incorporation, and the psychological facets of foreign language acquisition.

Keywords: Russian-Sanskrit, Linguistic Affinities, Cultural Connections, Language Pedagogy

1. Introduction: The connection between Sanskrit and Russian has intrigued scholars of languages and historians over the last several decades. In spite of their significant spatial distance, the two languages share a surprising number of points of similarity in many areas of linguistics, such as grammar, syntax, and lexis. The present paper explores these interesting affinities based on sound analysis and comprehensive references to relevant studies with special emphasis placed on the remarkable scholarly work of Dr. Chandan Suman. His research not only offers fundamental comparative linguistic observations but also provides useful insights into the realities of foreign language teaching, so the comparative study becomes richer and more complete.

2. Linguistic Affinity: Shared Roots and Structures

The profound common ancestry of Russian and Sanskrit in the Indo-European family is the foundation on which their linguistic similarities rest. Both languages retain features that shed light on their common historical path.

2.1. Phonetic and Lexical Similarities

Russian and Sanskrit have stunning phonetic and lexicographic similarities in support of their shared linguistic heritage. These similarities suggest themselves in several sound classes:

•Vowel Systems: Both languages have well-developed vowel systems, including both short and long vowel differences, though the actual realisations are different. For instance, the minimal pairs of Sanskrit such as अ (a) and आ (ā) (short and long forms of the same sound) have a parallel in Russian vowel differences, where stress tends to cause qualitative and quantitative variation in vowels such as о (o) and ё (yo).

•Consonant Systems: Both have a rich variety of consonants, with interesting similarities in their articulation.

Palatalisation: Russian has its widespread palatalisation, with numerous consonants being able to be articulated with the tongue near the hard palate, as in the difference between "н" (n) and "нь" (ny). Sanskrit also has palatalised consonants, e.g., the palatal nasal "ञ" (ñ), showing a common phonological feature from their common ancestral language.

Retroflex Consonants: Sanskrit is uniquely known to have retroflex consonants like ट (ṭ) and ड (ḍ), spoken with the curled-back tongue. Although Russian does not have immediate retroflex consonants, it does have sounds realized with the tongue in an equivalent posterior position, including "ш" (sh) and "ж" (zh), which are alveolar or post-alveolar fricatives, indicating a potential historical connection of sound evolution.

Phonetic Patterns: Certain phonetic patterns are routinely found in both languages:

Aspiration: Sanskrit makes very extensive use of aspirated consonants, like ख (kha) and घ (gha). Russian does not usually have aspirated stops in the same manner, though there are some dialects or emphatic pronunciations which could include breathy sounds.

Sibilants: Both of these languages also have a rich inventory of sibilant sounds. Sanskrit has separate sibilants like श (ś), ष (ṣ), and स (s), while Russian has its own inventory, which includes ш (sh) and с (s), exemplifying the subtle sound patterning that comes from Proto-Indo-European.

These phonetic similarities are complemented by many lexical examples that illustrate cognate relationships:

Common Words:

Water: Sanskrit "उदक" (udaka) and Russian "вода" (voda)

Mother: Sanskrit "मातृ" (mātṛ) and Russian "мать" (mat')

Father: Sanskrit "पितृ" (pitṛ) and Russian "отец" (otets)

Brother: Sanskrit "भ्रातृ" (bhrātṛ) and Russian "брат" (brat)

Name: Sanskrit "नाम" (nāma) and Russian "имя" (imya)

Night: Sanskrit "रात्रि" (rātri) and Russian "ночь" (noch')

New: Sanskrit "नव" (nava) and Russian "новый" (novyy)

•Numerals:

One: Sanskrit "एक" (eka) and Russian "один" (odin)

Two: Sanskrit "द्वि" (dvi) and Russian "два" (dva)

Three: Sanskrit "त्रि" (tri) and Russian "три" (tri)

•Body Parts:

Eye: Sanskrit "अक्षि" (ak□ksi) and Russian "глаз" (glaz)

Hand: Sanskrit "हस्त" (hasta) and Russian "рука" (ruka)

Heart: Sanskrit "हृदय" (hṛdaya) and Russian "сердце" (serdtse)

Natural Elements:

Fire: Sanskrit "अग्नि" (agni) and Russian "огонь" (ogon')

Earth: Sanskrit "पृथ्वी" (pṛthvī) and Russian "земля" (zemlya)

Sun: Sanskrit "सूर्य" (sūrya) and Russian "солнце" (solntse)

These phonetic and lexical similarities strongly highlight the profound shared Indo-European inheritance of Russian and Sanskrit (Gupta, 2022; Simha, 2014; Suman, 2021a).

2.2. Grammatical Structures: Inflectional Richness

Both Russian and Sanskrit are characteristically inflectional languages, with the former drawing intensively on inflections in word-endings to express grammatical relations, the quintessential feature of the Indo-European family.

2.2.1. Grammatical Number

One major area of shared similarity is their grammatical number systems. Both languages intensively employ inflections to express grammatical number, usually making a singular vs. plural distinction for nouns, adjectives, and verbs. Dr. Chandan Suman's studies of the Russian and Sanskrit systems of grammatical number specifically point out these similarities, referring to common methods of indicating quantity via inflectional paradigms (Suman, 2023b). His studies also include cross-linguistic comparisons, for example, between Russian and Arabic (Suman, 2023c) or Russian and Hindi (Suman & Kiran, 2023a), proving the prevalence of such grammatical features in various linguistic environments.

2.2.2. Grammatical Case Systems

Russian and Sanskrit both have complex grammatical case systems, which are essential in order to express the role of nouns, pronouns, and adjectives in a sentence without rigid word order. Sanskrit classically has eight cases (nominative, accusative, instrumental, dative, ablative, genitive, locative, and vocative), whereas Russian has six (nominative, genitive, dative, accusative, instrumental, and prepositional). In spite of the slight discrepancy in the number of cases, the underlying principle of case marking via inflectional endings is a remarkable parallel. They express relationships such as subject, direct object, indirect object, possession, and location. Dr. Suman's work offers insight into such systems, such as

comparative studies of case in Russian and Arabic (Suman & Shaban, 2023b) and between Russian and Hindi (Suman & Kiran, 2023b), demonstrating the different expressions of this fundamental grammatical feature across related and unrelated languages.

2.2.3. Grammatical Gender

Russian and Sanskrit both employ grammatical gender, where each noun has a gender (masculine, feminine, and neuter for both) assigned to it, and this in turn determines the forms of related adjectives, pronouns, and verbs for concord. This ubiquitous feature guarantees concordance within sentences. Although the actual gender assignment of some words may vary, the occurrence and functional workings of grammatical gender highlight a common structural feature. Dr. Suman's comparative research on Russian and Arabic grammatical gender (Suman & Shaban, 2023a) and on Hindi and Russian (Suman & Suman, 2023b) further clarifies the intricacies and cross-linguistic trends of this basic grammatical feature.

2.3. Comparative Analysis of Numerals

Dr. Chandan Suman's careful scholarship offers detailed comparative discussions of numerals in Russian and Sanskrit, further reinforcing their linguistic closeness.

Cardinal Numerals: His research on cardinal numerals shows remarkable parallels in their structure and use (Suman, 2019b). Both languages rely heavily on a base-10 number system, and most of the numerals have shared Indo-European origins. The method in which they inflect for gender and case when they are adjectives also shows parallels.

Ordinal Numerals: The comparative analysis of ordinal numerals also proves to show strong similarities. The derivation of ordinal numbers in both languages tends to resemble each other, echoing their common linguistic origin and systematic process of derivation from cardinal forms (Suman, 2019c).

2.4. Syntactical Structures and Tenses

Aside from morphology, syntactical structures in Russian and Sanskrit also share similarities. Both languages have a comparatively free word order relative to highly analytical ones, due to their strong inflectional systems whereby case endings can be used to primarily signal grammatical function. Although historically, and still in the case of Sanskrit, there is an SOV order, Russian tends to default towards SVO but has a great deal of flexibility. Dr. Suman's comparative analysis of syntactic structure in Sanskrit and Russian emphasises these common patterns and exceptions (Suman, 2022a), offering insights into meaning construction in both languages.

In addition, although not a direct comparison between Russian and Sanskrit, Dr. Suman's "Translatability of Tenses from Russian to English and vice versa" (Suman, 2016b) highlights the intricacy of temporal expression in languages. This study suggests the refined comprehension needed for meaning transfer in any two languages, including Russian and Sanskrit, due to their different yet analogous tense-aspect systems.

2.5. Morphological Learning Aids: Mnemonics

The inflectional complexities of Russian and such languages also sometimes require the best pedagogical means for learning. Dr. Suman's work on "Mnemonics for Adjective Endings in the Russian Language" (Suman, 2016a) offers insights into effective linguistic learning methods. These mnemonics are such handy tools for understanding and remembering the complex and sometimes knotty Russian adjective endings patterns that the same can perhaps be used to master comparable Sanskrit morphological hurdles.

2.6. Regional Linguistic Context: Angika

Although the main concentration is on Russian and Sanskrit, it is relevant here to briefly mention the larger linguistic fabric of India. Dr. Suman's work on "Angika Territory: Unravelling Geographical Extent and Linguistic Identity" (Suman, Kiran, & Kumar, 2023a) and "Script of Angika: A Historical Writing System" (Suman, Kiran, & Kumar, 2023b) brings out the varied historical and linguistic richness of the Indo-Aryan family beyond Sanskrit and Hindi. Knowledge of these regional languages and their historical development (e.g., Angika's historical scripts such as Anga Lipi and Kaithi) gives a more nuanced background to language development and exchange within the greater Indo-European context.

3. Cultural Affinity: Historical and Contemporary Connections

The linguistic affinity between Russia and India is complemented by a vibrant fabric of cultural exchanges that have developed over the centuries, entwining mutual respect and understanding.

3.1. Historical Cultural Connections

Historical accounts and archaeological data indicate that the language ancestors of Russian and Sanskrit may have had speakers who lived in close proximity to each other at some time in prehistory (Simha, 2014; Gupta, 2022). This profound historical affinity is evident not merely in common linguistic features but also in remarkably similar cultural practices, folklore, and mythologies that point to shared ancient beliefs and traditions. Dr. Suman's work on "Cultural affinity between Russia and India" (Suman, 2019a) elucidates further these profound historical connections, presenting an in-depth view of the way these cultural exchanges have influenced both nations.

3.2. Contemporary Cultural Exchange

Today, cultural exchanges between Russia and India remain high, further consolidating the connection between the two countries (Ministry of Foreign Affairs, 2018).

Literature and Drama: Both nations have a deep love for literature and drama. Russian literary greats Tolstoy and Dostoevsky are popularly read and admired in India, and Indian epics Mahabharata and Ramayana are read and admired in Russia after being translated.

Music and Dance: There is a lively exchange of music and dance groups. Indian classical dances such as Bharatanatyam and Kathak frequently find their way onto Russian stages, whereas

globally acclaimed Russian ballet shows find an audience in India.

Educational and Linguistic Partnerships: Russian education institutions show keen interest in Indian languages and culture, teaching Hindi, Tamil, and Sanskrit. There are many academic partnerships between Russian and Indian universities across the fields of science, technology, and humanities, encouraging knowledge development on both sides.

•Cultural Festivals and Events: Annual cultural festivals, including the "Days of Russian Culture in India" and the "Indian Festival in Moscow," are well-attended events with performances, exhibitions, and seminars celebrating their common heritage. Film festivals also promote mutual understanding and appreciation of one another's movie traditions.

Shared Traditions and Values: Russian and Indian cultures share strong traditions and superstitions, which share similar values and beliefs, e.g., utmost respect for the elderly and the supreme significance of the family (Rishi, 2014).

Ayurveda and Yoga: There is an increasing and deep interest in Ayurveda and yoga among Russians, and many Russians have adopted these ancient Indian disciplines for physical as well as mental wellbeing.

Shared Cultural Initiatives and Tourism: Efforts at preserving and showcasing cultural heritage like the maintenance of the Roerich family memorial museum in India reflect shared cooperation. Bilateral tourism between both countries is also increasing, with Russians moving to India in search of its spiritual heritage and Indians traveling to Russia's historical and cultural sites.

These strong and diverse cultural linkages continue to support lasting friendship and mutual comprehension between India and Russia.

4.   Pedagogical   Implications: Contemporary Approaches to Language Learning

Being familiar with the profound linguistic and cultural affinities between Russian and Sanskrit has important pedagogical undertones, particularly when place in the contemporary context of language teaching innovations. The findings of Dr. Chandan Suman go beyond pure linguistics into assessing the efficacy of different pedagogies, technological applications, and the psychological aspects of foreign language learning.

4.1. Utilizing Technology in Language Instruction

Successful technology infusion has revolutionized the teaching of foreign languages, providing active and interactive means that are especially valuable in the acquisition of complicated languages such as Russian and Sanskrit.

4.1.1. Artificial Intelligence (AI)

Artificial Intelligence offers innovative solutions to adaptive and individualized language learning. These include sophisticated real-time translation and pronunciation correction tools, AI-based content personalization aligned to a learner's needs, and smart grammar training tools. Chatbots with artificial intelligence can mimic natural conversations, delivering

great practice, while virtual reality (VR) environments present immersive settings for practical use (Suman & Suman, 2023a). AI tools examine speech rhythm, accent, intonation, and patterns and deliver highly accurate feedback to boost pronunciation and fluency, representing a great leap in computer-assisted language learning (CALL).

4.1.2. Multimedia Integration

Strategic deployment of multimedia greatly improves foreign language instruction by providing rich, interactive learning environments. Video use, audio clips, interactive games, and varied internet resources raise the level of engagement among students and support different learning styles. Multimedia helps build a psychologically relaxed environment, counteracts learning constraints, and most importantly, fosters the building of holistic foreign language communicative competence (Suman, 2023a). This method is useful in cultivating an integrated mastery of listening, speaking, reading, and writing.

4.2. Psychological Aspects of Learning Language

Dr. Chandan Suman's vast research on the psychological sides of learning, with a specific focus on mindset and motivation, offers a very important framework for maximizing foreign language learning. The findings are immediately transferable to learning languages as complex as Russian and Sanskrit.

4.2.1. Growth Mindset and Academic Performance

The concept of a growth mindset, championed by Carol Dweck, posits that individuals who believe their abilities can be developed through dedication and hard work are more likely to achieve success. In language learning, students with a growth mindset are more resilient in the face of grammatical complexities, embrace mistakes as learning opportunities, and show greater persistence. Dr. Suman's study directly affirms that "Students with Growth Mindset are Good at Foreign Language Learning" (Suman, 2023e), highlighting its positive effect on academic performance (Suman, 2023h). He emphasizes the need for "Cultivating a Growth-Oriented Mindset in Educational Settings" to develop persistence and optimism in learning (Suman, 2023i). His work also explores the "language of growth mindset" itself, demonstrating how certain patterns of communication can "Cultivate Potential" among learners (Suman, 2018c; Suman, 2018b).

4.2.2. Teachers' Mindset and Feedback

Teachers' mindset and feedback have a powerful impact on the classroom climate and students' achievement (Suman, 2023d). Teachers with a growth mindset encourage their students to engage with challenges, learn from mistakes, and become resilient. In addition, the quality of feedback and praise is essential. Dr. Suman emphasizes the "Implication of Feedback and Praise on Mindset," promoting constructive, process-oriented feedback that focuses on effort and strategy, not the simple judgment of ability (Suman, 2023f). This practice supports the growth mindset and has a large effect on overall student performance.

4.2.3. Intrinsic Motivation and Cognitive Load

Intrinsic motivation, driven by an inner drive to learn because of the pleasure of learning, is a strong index of long-term language learning success. Dr. Suman's research on "Implications of Intrinsic Motivation and Mindset on Learning" highlights how internal motivation drives persistence and greater involvement, trumping the impact of extrinsic rewards (Suman, 2023g). This innate motivation, in addition to a positive disposition, allows students to work through the "Cognitive Load" involved in learning new language structures and vocabulary (Suman, 2012a). A growth mindset can mitigate cognitive load by facilitating effective learning behaviours and a willingness to work through difficult information.

4.2.4. Attitudes, Communication, and Implicit Learning

Students' attitudes, including self-image, inhibition, risk-taking, ego-permeability, and tolerance of ambiguity, are essential to foreign language learning (Suman, 2012b). A growth mindset invites students to overcome shyness and risk speaking, essential for communicative competence. Dr. Suman's investigation of the "Structure of Motivational Meaning in Verbal Communication" (Suman, 2018a) further explains how purpose, sincerity, and emotional appeal in communication propel successful language use. His latest work on "Eavesdroppers on Our Own Lives: How Implicit Learning Shapes Conscious Communication" (Suman, 2024a) is an interesting view on how the unconscious plays a vital part in language acquisition,

supporting the need to design learning environments that allow for explicit teaching and implicit uptake.

Conclusion: The comparative analysis of Russian and Sanskrit overwhelmingly emphasises the profound linguistic and cultural affinities within the Indo-European language family. From mutual phonological traits and wide lexical cognates to similar grammatical structures like case, gender, and number systems, the similarities between these two languages run deep. These linguistic similarities are organically intertwined with a strong cultural history of intercommunication between Russia and India, expressed through mutual traditions, artistic collaborations, and scholarly interests.

Aside from the strictly scholarly comparison, this paper has shed light on the pedagogical implications that are critical, utilising extensively Dr. Chandan Suman's rich body of scholarship. The strategic incorporation of contemporary technologies such as Artificial Intelligence and multimedia presents powerful means to enhance the learning process, making complicated languages more accessible and interactive. Most importantly, Dr. Suman's observations regarding the psychology of language learning, such as the development of a growth mindset, teacher's mindset effects, the function of intrinsic motivation, and the character of cognitive load, give a strong framework for developing resilient, efficient, and engaged language learners. By identifying and capitalising on these linguistic, cultural, technological, and psychological aspects, the process of learning Russian or Sanskrit can be

converted into an enriching and highly rewarding process.

References

1. Angika - Wikipedia. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Angika

2. Anga Lipi - Wikipedia. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anga_Lipi

3. Aristotle. (1991). *On rhetoric: A theory of civic discourse* (G. A. Kennedy, Trans.). Oxford University Press. (Original work published ca. 350 BCE)

4. Atkinson, R. C. (1975). Mnemotechnics in second-language learning. *American Psychologist, 30*(8), 821–828.

5. Baker, M. (1992). *In light of theta: A study in English anaphora*. University of Chicago Press.

6. Chapelle, C. A. (2001). *Computer applications in second language acquisition: Foundations for research*. Cambridge University Press.

7. Comrie, B. (1989). *Language universals and linguistic typology* (2nd ed.). University of Chicago Press.

8. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry, 11*(4), 227–268.

9. Dehaene, S. (1997). *The number sense: How the mind creates mathematics*. Oxford University Press.

10. Dweck, C. S. (2006). *Mindset: The new psychology of success*. Random House.

11. Ellis, R. (2008). *The study of second language acquisition* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

12. Felix, U. (2005). *Analysing discourse on the world wide web*. Continuum.

13. Grierson, G. A. (1903). *Linguistic survey of India, Vol. V, Part II: Indo-Aryan family, Eastern Group, Specimens of the Bihārī and Oriyā languages*. Office of the Superintendent of Government Printing, India.

14. Gupta, N. (2022). Linguistic Affinity between Sanskrit and Russian. *Academia.edu*. Retrieved from https://www.academia.edu/86043142/Linguistic_Affinity_between_Sanskrit_and_Russian

15. Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The Power of Feedback. *Review of Educational Research, 77*(1), 81–112.

16. Kramsch, C. (1998). *Language and culture*. Oxford University Press.

17. Larsen-Freeman, D., & Long, M. H. (1991). *An introduction to*

second language acquisition research. Longman.

18. MacIntyre, P. D. (1999). Language anxiety: A review of the research for language teachers. *In P. J. D. MacIntyre (Ed.), The modern language journal, 83*(2), 269-270*.

19. Mayer, R. E. (2001). *Multimedia learning*. Cambridge University Press.

20. Ministry of Foreign Affairs. (2018). Cultural Ties. *India-Russia Bilateral Relations*. Retrieved from https://www.mea.gov.in/bilateral-documents.htm?dtl/30430/IndiaRussia_Bilateral_Relations

21. Montrul, S., Bhatia, A., & Bhatt, R. (2019). Case Marking in Hindi as the Weaker Language. *Frontiers in Psychology*.

22. Neidle, C. (2012). *The Case System in Russian*. MIT Press.

23. Rishi, W. R. (2014). *India & Russia: Linguistic & Cultural Affinity*. Hindu Press International.

24. Simha, R. K. (2014). *Sanskrit and Russian: Ancient Kinship*. Russia Beyond. Retrieved from https://www.rbth.com/arts/2014/06/18/sanskrit_and_russian_ancient_kinship_37435.html

25. Suman, C. (2012a, March 14). *Cognitive Load and Mindset: A Comprehensive Analysis*. Journal of Universal Studies, *2*(1), 1–7. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374117431_Cognitive_Load_and_Mindset_A_Comprehensive_Analysis

26. Suman, C. (2012b, March 14). *Attitudes of Mindset Towards Foreign Language Learning: Exploring Self-Image, Inhibition, Risk-taking, Ego-Permeability and Ambiguity*. Journal of Universal Studies, *2*(1), 8–14. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374117236_Attitudes_of_Mindset_Towards_Foreign_Language_Learning_Exploring_Self-_Image_Inhibition_Risk-taking_Ego-Permeability_and_Ambiguity

27. Suman, C. (2016a, April 1). *MNEMONIC FOR ADJECTIVE ENDINGS IN RUSSIAN LANGUAGE*. Journal of Universal Studies, *1*(2), 48–53. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344295955_MNEMONIC_FOR_ADJECTIVE_ENDINGS_IN_RUSSIAN_LANGUAGE

28. Suman, C. (2016b, April 1). *Translatability of Tenses from Russian to English and vice versa*. Journal of Universal Studies, *1*(2), 54–60. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344295978_Translatability_of_Tenses_from_Russian_to_English_and_vice_versa

29. Suman, C. (2018a, April 30). *Structure of Motivational Meaning in Verbal Communication*. Journal of Universal Studies, *3*(1), 1–7. Retrieved from

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380817539_Structure_of_Motivational_Meaning_in_Verbal_Communication

30. Suman, C. (2018b, March 31). *Growth Mindset Language in the Classroom*. Journal of Universal Studies, *3*(1), 8–14. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380817296_Growth_Mindset_Language_in_the_Classroom

31. Suman, C. (2018c, March 30). *Cultivating Potential: Unveiling the Language of Growth Mindset*. Journal of Universal Studies, *3*(1), 15–21. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379001105_Cultivating_Potential_Unveiling_the_Language_of_Growth_Mindset

32. Suman, C. (2019a, May 22). *Cultural affinity between Russia and India*. Journal of Universal Studies, *4*(2), 27–33. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374117522_Comparative_Analysis_of_Cardinal_Numerals_of_Russian_and_Sanskrit_Languages

33. Suman, C. (2019b, May 22). *Comparative Analysis of Cardinal Numerals of Russian and Sanskrit Languages*. Journal of Universal Studies, *4*(2), 1–7. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374117522_Comparative_Analysis_of_Cardinal_Numerals_of_Russian_and_Sanskrit_Languages

34. Suman, C. (2019c, November 22). *Analysis of Ordinal Numerals of Russian and Sanskrit Languages: A Comparative Study*. Journal of Universal Studies, *4*(2), 8–14. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374117515_Analysis_of_Ordinal_Numerals_of_Russian_and_Sanskrit_Languages_A_Comparative_Study

35. Suman, C. (2021a, October 27). *Linguistic Affinity between Russian and Sanskrit Language*. Journal of Universal Studies, *6*(2), 52–58. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374199693_Linguistic_Affinity_between_Russian_and_Sanskrit_Language

36. Suman, C. (2022a, October 27). *A Comparative Study of Syntactical Structure of Russian and Sanskrit Languages*. Journal of Universal Studies, *7*(1), 1–7. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374201882_A_Comparative_Study_of_Syntactical_Structure_of_Russian_and_Sanskrit_Languages

37. Suman, C. (2023a, August 15). *The Effective Integration of Multimedia in Foreign Language Teaching*. Journal of Universal Studies, *8*(3), 68–74. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374119410_The_Effective_Integration_of_Multimedia_in_Foreign_Language_Teaching

38. Suman, C. (2023b, July 10). *Comparative Analysis of The Grammatical Number System in Russian and Sanskrit*. Journal of Universal Studies, *8*(3), 110–116. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372570230_Comparative_analysis_of_Grammatical_Number_System_in_Russian_and_Sanskrit

39. Suman, C. (2023c, August 15). *A Cross-Linguistic Study of Grammatical Number of Russian and Arabic*. Journal of Universal Studies, *8*(3), 117–123. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374119006_A_Cross-Linguistic_study_of_Grammatical_Number_of_Russian_and_Arabic

40. Suman, C. (2023d, July 10). *Teachers' Mindset Engaged in Teaching Foreign Language*. Journal of Universal Studies, *8*(2), 26–32. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372570495_Teachers'_Mindset_Engaged_in_Teaching_Foreign_Language

41. Suman, C. (2023e, July 10). *Students with Growth Mindset are Good at Foreign Language Learning*. Journal of Universal Studies, *8*(2), 33–39. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372570396_Students_with_Growth_Mindset_are_Good_at_Foreign_Language_Learning

42. Suman, C. (2023f, July 10). *Implication of Feedback and Praise on Mindset*. Journal of Universal Studies, *8*(2), 40–46. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372570379_Implication_of_Feedback_and_Praise_on_Mindset

43. Suman, C. (2023g, July 10). *Implications of Intrinsic Motivation and Mindset on Learning*. Journal of Universal Studies, *8*(2), 47–53. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372568040_Implications_of_Intrinsic_Motivation_and_Mindset_on_Learning

44. Suman, C. (2023h, July 10). *Impact of Mindset on Academic Achievement: A Comprehensive Review*. Journal of Universal Studies, *8*(2), 54–60. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372567428_Impact_of_Mindset_on_Academic_Achievement_A_Comprehensive_Review

45. Suman, C. (2023i, July 10). *Cultivating a Growth-Oriented Mindset in Educational Settings*. Journal of Universal Studies, *8*(2), 61–67. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372566995_Cultivating_a_Growth-Oriented_Mindset_in_Educational_Settings

46. Suman, C. (2024a, June 30). *Eavesdroppers on Our Own Lives:*

How Implicit Learning Shapes Conscious Communication. Preprints.org. Retrieved from https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202406.1111.v1

47. Suman, C., & Kiran, J. (2023a, August 15). *Grammatical Number of Russian and Hindi.* Journal of Universal Studies, *8*(3), 103–109. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374119389_Grammatical_Number_of_Russian_and_Hindi

48. Suman, C., & Kiran, J. (2023b, August 15). *Grammatical Case Systems in Russian and Hindi Languages.* Journal of Universal Studies, *8*(3), 89–95. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374119550_Grammatical_Case_Systems_in_Russian_and_Hindi_Languages

49. Suman, C., Kiran, J., & Kumar, S. (2023a, August 15). *Angika Territory: Unraveling Geographical Extent and Linguistic Identity.* Journal of Universal Studies, *8*(3), 44–52. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374119574_Angika_Territory_Unraveling_Geographical_Extent_and_Linguistic_Identity

50. Suman, C., Kiran, J., & Kumar, S. (2023b, August 15). *Script of Angika: A Historical Writing System.* Journal of Universal Studies, *8*(3), 53–59. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374119569_Script_of_Angika_A_Historical_Writing_System

51. Suman, C., & Shaban, Q. (2023a, August 15). *A Comparison of Grammatical Gender of Russian and Arabic.* Journal of Universal Studies, *8*(3), 75–81. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374119394_A_Comparison_of_Grammatical_Gender_of_Russian_and_Arabic

52. Suman, C., & Shaban, Q. (2023b, August 15). *A Study of the Grammatical Case System of Russian and Arabic.* Journal of Universal Studies, *8*(3), 82–88. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374119563_Using_Artificial_Intelligence_for_Foreign_Language_Learning

53. Suman, C., & Suman, S. (2023a, August 15). *Using Artificial Intelligence for Foreign Language Learning.* Journal of Universal Studies, *8*(3), 60–67. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374119563_Using_Artificial_Intelligence_for_Foreign_Language_Learning

54. Suman, C., & Suman, S. (2023b, August 15). *Grammatical Gender of Hindi and Russian Languages.* Journal of Universal Studies, *8*(3), 96–102. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374119407_Grammatical_G

ender_of_Hindi_and_Russian_Lan
guages

55. Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive Load Theory. *Educational Psychologist, 23*(3), 257–285.

56. Syzdykova, Z., Akhmetova, L., Bektembayeva, S., Balgabayeva, M., & Zhetpisbayeva, A. (2023). AI-based tools for enhancing foreign language learning: A literature review. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies, 19*(2), 221-236.

57. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.