Mirrored Perceptions: How Others' Behaviours, Activities, and Success Shape Our Mindset

Chandan Suman

Department of Foreign Languages, Faculty of Arts,
Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi

dr.chandansuman@gmail.com

Abstract: The paper presents a wide range of positive and negative ways other people's behaviours, activities, and successes have an impact on individual mindsets. The central theme of this paper is the Mirror Neuron System (MNS), a network of neurons that lights up not only when a person is doing a certain action but also when the same person sees someone else doing that action. Social comparison that is fueled by implicit biases plays a major role in setting and determining mindsets, which can be either further developed or become stagnant depending on the successes and failures of others that have been observed. Besides, social influence processes like normative pressure, observational learning, and emotional reactions are among those factors that decide the mindsets of individuals. Studies of the last period examine the brain mechanisms that underlie the social influence phenomenon and thus reveal friendlier situations, as well as the activation of the reward system in the brain. Moreover, when we talk about the peculiarities of social influence, we take into account the personality traits of an individual, the behaviour of a group, and the culture in which people live. This paper is about how social learning, encouragement, and the compelling influence of role models affect the change of mindset. In addition, it deals with the question of individual factors and even proposes numerous implementations in the sphere of education, social media curation, leadership development, workplace management, and personal development while stressing the significance of others in the process.

Keywords: Mirror Neuron System, Social Comparison, Social Influence, Observational Learning, Emotional Contagion, Role Models

1. The Mirror Neuron System: Reflecting and Shaping

The complex and detailed Mirror Neuron System (MNS) is one of the most important reasons why the actions of others have, so easily, a great influence on our mindsets. According to the ground-breaking research of Rizzolatti et al. (2004), the MNS is a highly interconnected complex of brain regions that are activated concurrently with the performance of an action, even when the same action is observed done by another person (Keysers & Fadiga, 2008; Cattaneo & Rizzolatti, 2009).

On the one hand, the MNS is based on mirroring, a situation when the observer's brain imitates the observed behaviour fully, thus resulting in a kind of common experience. Furthermore, the mirroring mechanism does not deal merely with actions; it also embraces feelings and even intentions (Rizzolatti & Gallese, 2006). Simply put, you become the others while closely watching them. So, seeing somebody else's winning or losing, at the same time, turns into a direct and,

consequently, almost identical emotional reaction to ours.

Such a situation forces MNS to face the issue of mindsets. Lifestyle change-driven MNS that can drastically enhance our self-esteem; works that are brought to life not only when we see somebody excel, but also when witnessing the other party fall. In the event of witnessing a victory or a defeat, the MNS mirroring mechanism integrates the neural system that is responsible for tying our emotional reactions with the feelings of others. This cognitive overlap provides a detailed discussion about the numerous ways through which the Mirror Neuron System functions as a potent orchestrator, reflecting external actions and thus modulating internal states, and hence, being a major player in the complex arena of social influence on individual mindsets.

1. *Social Comparison and Benchmarking:*

From the earliest years, we observe and engage with others to learn and develop our skills. The same kind of social influence, which is inherent in our behaviour, goes beyond the scope of conscious learning and thereby shapes our implicit biases, cognitive processes, and, eventually, our mindsets. Several major mechanisms play a big role in this phenomenon. Among these mechanisms, we have social comparison, which can be treated as one of the most essential ways through which other people impact our mindsets (Festinger, 1954). Besides, we are always comparing ourselves with others in both conscious and unconscious ways, and hence their successes and mistakes become the yardsticks for our self-esteem and the level of expectations (Festinger, 1954). In other words, the success of others can be the motivation for us to achieve similar results,

but their failure can also make us feel insecure or develop self-doubt.

The Effects of Social Comparison: We instinctively and habitually match ourselves to other people, thereby judging our own levels of strengths, virtues, and successes through these comparisons (Festinger, 1954). By looking at what successful people have achieved, we can get an idea for our own growth and be encouraged to set ourselves high goals. The art of observing others is a two-edged sword, in which we can derive both positive and negative outcomes depending on different individuals. On one hand, social comparison is upward; we seek to be like others by learning positive things from them and thus to get inspired and motivated; by looking at others' success, we also call upon our inner self and find new aspirations to achieve our growth mindset and enhance the self in us. On the other hand, observing the failure of others can cause different reactions such as boredom or even schadenfreude, which can be a source of the hindrance of the slow process of our own growth (Dweck, 2007; Burton & Dweck, 2020). Apart from this, a person may experience an emotional response of feeling inadequate when others are performing better than them. This, in turn, can lead to a low sense of self-efficacy and a decrease in motivation (Buunk, A. P., & Gibbons, F. X., 2007; Martinot & Redersdorff, 2005; "Upward/Downward Social Comparisons," 2020). On the contrary, this can also be the reason for the person to work hard and be as successful as the other person, which is a sign of the growth process being activated and the person starts learning from him/her (Martinot & Redersdorff, 2005; Wheeler, 1966; Normand & Croizet, 2013).

Role of Downward Comparison: The act of noticing people performing worse than us and in that way, giving ourselves a momentary boost of self-confidence and self-esteem is something that Tesser showed in 1988. Such a momentary uplifting, however, plays the role of a source of validation and self-affirmation. Nevertheless, the research by Guimond (2006) and Gibbons et al. (1999) points to a different interpretation that refers to the possible adverse effects caused by the frequent and habitual practice of downward comparisons. Over a prolonged period, downward comparisons may lead to disengagement, thereby limiting personal growth and development. New challenges might become sources of fear and discomfort for the individual, and this initial burst of confidence slowly turns into a stumbling block, holding the person back from embarking on the journey of self-improvement. Consequently, although people might experience momentary benefits from making brief downward social comparisons, their constant usage tends to result in being trapped in a rut with restricted personal development.

The Power of Social Influence and Mindset: Social influence is a potent factor that changes and shapes our thoughts, feelings, and actions. Social influence has a deep impact on our mindset. Normative pressure is a significant example of a platform that functions by many mechanisms. Social groups create norms and expectations that perfectly influence the behavioural patterns of individuals. The research of Asch in 1955 shows one of the strongest examples of the power of conformity to these social standards, even when they contradict with one's own beliefs. Such conformity is, in most cases, driven by the basic human nature of wanting to avoid the social rejection or get acceptance in the group. The subtle and continuous interchanging between exoteric collective expectations and personal beliefs reveals the intricate and complex character of normative pressure as a convincing power in the elaborate network of social influence, which is the interplay of communal and individual behaviours.

Observational Learning: Observational learning, one of the main features of human growth, is a process that changes the behaviour and the mindset of a person by observing and identifying with others (Bandura, 1977; Bandura, A., & Walters, R. H., 1977; Bandura, 1997). Instead of merely copying successful behaviour, individuals imitate the full range of observed skills and even the problem-solving methods used by the other person (Bandura, 1977). This process is a guiding light for people as it helps them to steer through the maze of social interactions and problem-solving. Seeing good social interactions along with protocol has a domino effect on the person's behaviour and will make them develop a positive mindset in case of challenges.

We learn from the people around us, and this is, in fact, the essence of the present case. The positive behaviours and successful achievements that we observe in our environment become the source of our personal development, as they encourage us to show such admirable traits of character. On the other hand, the whole field could also feature only quite the opposite - for instance, negative behaviours from which the learners may take cautionary lessons and thus be deterred from the potential pitfalls.

The main point in Bandura's studies is that this very way of learning is the only one that

is not just mere copying, but rather a complicated new skill and attitude learning process. The observation of others is one of the sources of wisdom that has a significant effect on our cognitive landscapes. This mechanism of integrating good and bad acts serves as the framework of one's life for overcoming the various difficulties and seizing opportunities. All in all, observational learning is a vivid and dynamic force, an evolutionary instrument that urges those individuals who have the right to choose to adopt the most adaptive and informed behaviours and consequently to become promoters of the societal collective growth through the shared insights and experiences.

Emotional Contagion: Emotionally connected to each other, the people in the social group, through emotional contagion, a complex and delicate phenomenon, have the absolute most profound impact of shared feelings on individual approaches (Hatfield et al.,1993,1994). Our emotions travel far beyond our personal bubble and affect those who are with us. Moreover, it is also the cause that others see us as happy or confident and, in return, do so, too. This common feeling of joy becomes the energiser of our spirits plus motivation.

If negative emotions are around, then the ugly side of emotional disclosure comes forth. For example, an individual who watches someone being miserable will find his own mood darkened strongly and quite possible not be able to see life from a brighter side. This shared emotions theme highlights the intricate relationship of individuals within a social system, where emotions, that is, affect even collective moods and significantly contribute to the rich tapestry of human experience.

2. *The Science Behind the Influence:*

Recent scientific studies have opened up valuable insights into the brain processes that allow social influence to have a deep impact on the mind. The role that mirror neurons play in this is, according to the research of Rizzolatti and co-workers (2004), most significant. Mirror neurons are special brain cells that excite both when a person does a certain action and when they see another person doing the same thing (Keysers & Fadiga, 2008; Cattaneo & Rizzolatti, 2009).

Activation of similar brain regions in the case of observation causes a feeling of commonality and empathy. Our brains, in fact, simulate other people's experiences, which makes it possible for us to feel their emotions and understand their intentions (Rizzolatti & Craighero, 2004). This mirroring mechanism that we use has a neurological basis for the emotional bond and influence that happens when we see other people doing the same as us.

Besides that, studies indicate that witnessing victory leads the brain to activate its reward system (Rizzolatti, 2005; Rizzolatti and Buccino, 2005; "The Mirror Neuron System and Imitation," 2005). This activation serves as a motivation, attracting people to take the same successful steps they have witnessed. The brain shift towards success catalyses the view that social influence, besides an emotional one, can also be a motivational and reward-driven phenomenon.

Neurological Investigations into the Social Influence Phenomenon Reaches- Insight on Emotion and Reward Circuitry, Helping Mood to be Changed by Interaction and Social Influence. Mirror neuron and reward centre activation show the close connection between social influence and the fundamental mechanisms of human

mindset-behaviour. The understanding of these neurobiological processes not only marks the success of human behaviour and mindset but also highlights the role of observation and success as key operant factors.

3. Social Influence and its Nuances:

Social influence has a big impact on one's mindset, and it is a complex case where various factors contribute to adding subtlety to the seemingly simple nature. The contribution of individual differences is very crucial; those possessing high self-esteem will likely show less susceptibility to social comparison, and thus keep their convictions and aspirations (Crocker & Knight, 2005; Luhtanen & Crocker, 1992).

Besides individual differences, group dynamics also complicate social influence. The size, cohesion, and power relationships within a group greatly determine both the intensity of normative pressure and the kinds of behaviours that people are likely to adopt (Turner et al., 1956). The group scenario is an important changer that affects how people sense and react to the off-hand stimulants.

Cultural context is another factor that complicates the impact of social influence. Different cultures set different norms and have different expectations and these, in turn, influence how people interpret and react to social pressures (Markus & Kitayama, 1991). Getting the hitches in the interplay of social influence and individual and collective mindsets helps us grasp how social influence works.

4. Social Learning and Vicarious Reinforcement:

Observing others has an instrumental role in social learning (Bandura, 1977; Bandura, A., & Walters, R. H.,1977; Bandura, 1997). By observing others' behaviours and the resulting consequences, we infuse an implicit understanding of social norms, appropriate behaviour, and potential outcomes into the fabric of our consciousness. The threads of positive experience are the ones that contribute to human behaviour.

Seeing people being rewarded for their actions is like a reflection that shows us what be the possible ways to treat others. The promise of similar rewards shapes our thinking, affecting our choices to be in line with the actions that lead to good results. On the other hand, stories about people receiving punishment for their actions are the causes that keep us from doing the same and defining our moral qualities and choices. Social learning and observational learning reinforcement are the key players in this complex interaction, and they are the main characters among the many different human behaviours and mindsets that are differently woven.

5. The Power of Role Models:

Influential figures and role models are like the stars that shine in the complex journey of human growth and become essential signposts indicating the way to success and competence. People regarded as successful and worthy to be emulated have a huge impact on our mindset, which determines the shape of our aspirations and self-conceptions (Pintrich, 2004; Schunk, 2005).

These influential figures are far beyond the devotion of mere admirers; they become the tangible representations of our ambitions. They act as landmarks when it comes to deciding personal goals, thus becoming the

source of purpose and direction for our journey. Research, such as the one carried out by Habib et al. (2023), exemplifies the power to change one's life through the association with a positive role model. The mutually beneficial association with these leaders lifts up our self-efficacy, stimulates motivation, and even makes academic performance better. As we go through life's difficulties, role models become our support, showing the way to self-discovery and making us realise our full potential.

6. Navigating the Social Tapestry:

Influential figures are the decision boards that reflect all good qualities of life in the whole process of human development, which is quite a complicated weave. On seeing the success and greatness of role models, persons in various walks of life get inspired to set higher goals for themselves and get out of the vicious cycle of limiting them (Pintrich, 2004; Schunk, 2005).

These significant personalities do not stop at just receiving the highest regard from others, but also become the clearest instances of what matters most in the world. They act as references for personal objectives, providing our travels with the feeling of both finality and orientation. Examples from Habib et al. (2023) research, naming the influence of finding positive role models on the life trajectory, are quite galvanising. The association with these figures turns out to be quite beneficial as it elevates our self-esteem, ushers in energy, and even academic performance gets better. When walking through the complicated maze of life, it is the role models who become the leaders who get us nearer to our true selves and keep us moving to our greatest heights.

7. Implications and Applications:

Understanding how other people's behaviour and individual mindsets influence each other provides valuable insights that can be applied in many fields:

Education: Understanding the role of social influence in education can significantly change the way we approach teaching and learning. Teachers by setting up good practice for students, can gradually instil in them the growth mindset. Apart from getting better in their studies, they will also be developing the habit of welcoming challenges, of becoming more resilient, and of ceasing to be only consumers of knowledge, but also producers of it.

Social Media: In light of emotions being expressed on the net, people can become more practical and purposeful in choosing their online locations. Users can create a virtuous circle in which an optimistic mindset becomes more prevalent in the digital world by encouraging positive social interactions and restricting access to the negative. Such an approach leads to a more positive online experience as well as a more uplifting one.

Leadership Development: Social influence is among the core ideas in the set of concepts about leadership effectively used by leaders to inspire and motivate their teams. Leaders are the main catalysts of positive organisational culture by practising what they preach and at the same time, encouraging the dynamics of the interaction among members of the group. This, in turn, leads to better cooperation, increased productivity as well as organisational effectiveness.

Workplace Management: Employee motivation is an area where workplace leaders could orchestrate the use of social influence quite effectively. As leaders in the workplace, you can manage a positive work

environment by acknowledging employees, encouraging collaboration, and setting clear goals for success. This approach not only enhances the performance of individuals and groups but also creates a positive environment at work.

Personal Development: Socially speaking, people can learn a great deal about the influence of social factors on their own perceptions. Armed with this knowledge, you will be able to make deliberate choices about who you spend time with and where. Cognitive abilities will be developed, people will learn to give themselves the compassion they deserve and at the same time, be on the lookout for the types of companies that can keep their personal growth momentum going amidst all the differing external influences.

Mindset shifts that are impacted by the fellow humans' behaviour in the most far-reaching scenarios like education, social media activities, leadership practices, workplace dynamics and personal growth can now be recognised. Employing these applications enables individuals and communities to leverage the positive side of social influence for growth and well-being.

Conclusion: This investigation has unveiled the deep ways in which others' behaviours, activities, and achievements shape individual mindsets through mirrored perceptions that are often misunderstood as being our own. It all started with the revelation of the Mirror Neuron System (MNS), an energetic source that reflects and reshapes feelings and thoughts. The examination of social comparison as a potent force to be a factor for development or an obstacle based on the results of success and failure is carried forward. The influence of science is done by looking at the neural mechanisms, which involve the activation of the mirror neurons and the reward centres.

Individual differences, group dynamics, and cultural contexts have been identified as three of the most influential moderators of social influence. Social learning and vicarious reinforcement have been identified as the main channels for connecting implicit understandings of norms with desirable outcomes. The power of role models being a source of light for the aspirants has an influence on self-perception. Exploring the social fabric promoted the importance of individual factors in instituting the influence of the external world, thus being an effective way of critical thinking and self-compassion.

This deeper understanding of social influence indeed opens the door for planned uses. Detecting and taking advantage of others' behaviour can lead to the power of an individual's mindset in education, social media, leadership, workplace management, and personal development. The phrase becomes a call for both individuals and communities to take action and thereby create a positive atmosphere, be promoters of growth and, through the intricate weave of common human experiences, re-establish the strength of resilience in mindsets.

References:

1. Asch, S. E. (1955). Opinions and social pressure. *Scientific American*, *193*(5), 31–35. https://doi.org/10.1038/scientificamerican1155-31
2. Bandura, A. (1977). *Social learning theory*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J. : Prentice Hall.

3. Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-Efficacy in changing societies*. Cambridge University Press.

4. Bandura, A., & Walters, R. H. (1977). *Social learning theory* (Vol. 1). Prentice Hall: Englewood cliffs.

5. Burton, H., & Dweck, C. (2020). *Mindsets: Growing your brain*. Open Agenda Publishing. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/j.ctv22jnnzg

6. Buunk, A. P., & Gibbons, F. X. (2007). Social comparison: The end of a theory and the emergence of a field. *Organizational behavior and human decision processes*, *102*(1), 3-21.

7. Cattaneo, L., & Rizzolatti, G. (2009). The mirror neuron system. *Archives of Neurology*, *66*(5). https://doi.org/10.1001/archneurol.2009.41

8. Crocker, J., & Knight, K. M. (2005). Contingencies of self-worth. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *14*(4), 200–203. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0963-7214.2005.00364.x

9. Dweck, C. S. (2007). *Mindset: The new psychology of success*. Ballantine Books.

10. Festinger, L. (1954). A theory of social comparison processes. *Human Relations*, *7*(2), 117–140. https://doi.org/10.1177/001872675400700202

11. Festinger, L. (1954). A theory of social comparison processes. *Human Relations*, *7*(2), 117–140. https://doi.org/10.1177/001872675400700202

12. Gibbons, F. X., & Buunk, B. P. (1999). Individual differences in social comparison: development of a scale of social comparison orientation. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, *76*(1), 129.

13. Guimond, S. (2006). *Social comparison and social psychology: Understanding cognition, intergroup relations, and culture*. Cambridge University Press.

14. Habib, A., Hanif, R., & Riaz, R. (2023). Role of motivation in academic achievement among medical students: Mediating role of self-efficacy. *THE THERAPIST (Journal of Therapies & Rehabilitation Sciences)*, 08–13. https://doi.org/10.54393/tt.v4i04.66

15. Hatfield, E., Cacioppo, J. T., & Rapson, R. L. (1993). Emotional contagion. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, *2*(3), 96–100. https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.ep10770953

16. Hatfield, E., Hatfield, C., Cacioppo, J. T., & Rapson, R. L. (1994). *Emotional contagion*. Cambridge University Press.

17. Keysers, C., & Fadiga, L. (2008). The mirror neuron system: New frontiers. *Social Neuroscience*, *3*(3–4), 193–198. https://doi.org/10.1080/17470910802408513

18. Luhtanen, R., & Crocker, J. (1992). A collective self-esteem scale: Self-Evaluation of one's social identity. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *18*(3), 302–

318. https://doi.org/10.1177/014616729 2183006

19. Markus, H. R., & Kitayama, S. (1991). Culture and the self: Implications for cognition, emotion, and motivation. Psychological Review, 98(2), 224–253. https://doi.org/10.1037//0033-295x.98.2.224

20. Martinot, D., & Redersdorff, S. (2005). The variable impact of upward and downward social comparisons on self-esteem: When the level of analysis matters. In *Social Comparison and Social Psychology* (pp. 127–150). Cambridge University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/cbo97805 11584329.008

21. Martinot, D., & Redersdorff, S. (2005). The variable impact of upward and downward social comparisons on self-esteem: When the level of analysis matters. In *Social Comparison and Social Psychology* (pp. 127–150). Cambridge University Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/cbo97805 11584329.008

22. Normand, A., & Croizet, J.-C. (2013). Upward Social Comparison Generates Attentional Focusing When the Dimension of Comparison is Self-Threatening. *Social Cognition*, 336–348. https://doi.org/10.1521/soco_2013_ 1004

23. Pintrich, P. R. (2004). Learning to think: Disciplinary perspectives. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 75(4), 476–480.

https://doi.org/10.1080/00221546.2 004.11772270

24. Rizzolatti, G. (2005). The mirror neuron system and imitation. *Perspectives on imitation: From neuroscience to social science*, 1(1), 55-76.

25. Rizzolatti, G. (2005). The mirror neuron system and its function in humans. *Anatomy and Embryology*, 210(5–6), 419–421. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-005-0039-z

26. Rizzolatti, G., & Buccino, G. (2005). The mirror neuron system and its role in imitation and language. In *From Monkey Brain to Human Brain* (pp. 213–234). The MIT Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/ 3136.003.0016

27. Rizzolatti, G., & Craighero, L. (2004). THE MIRROR-NEURON SYSTEM. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 27(1), 169–192. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ne uro.27.070203.144230

28. Rizzolatti, G., & Gallese, V. (2006). Mirror neurons. *Encyclopedia of Cognitive Science*. https://doi.org/10.1002/047001886 0.s00360

29. Schunk, D. H. (2005). Self-regulated learning: The educational legacy of Paul R. Pintrich. *Educational psychologist*, 40(2), 85-94.

30. The mirror neuron system and imitation. (2005). In *Perspectives on Imitation*. The MIT Press. http://dx.doi.org/10.7551/mitpress/ 5330.003.0002

31. Turner, R. H., Sherif, M., Sherif, C. W., & Murphy, G. (1956). An outline of social psychology. *American Sociological Review, 21*(6), 808. https://doi.org/10.2307/2088456

32. Upward/Downward social comparisons. (2020). In *Encyclopedia of Personality and Individual Differences* (pp. 5683–5683). Springer International Publishing. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-24612-3_302842

33. Wheeler, L. (1966). Motivation as a determinant of upward comparison. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 1*, 27–31. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031(66)90062-x