A Review on Language Learning: Integrating Linguistic Diversity, Technological Innovations, and Psychological Frameworks

Upendra Kumar

Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi

Email: uksethi.jnu@gmail.com

Chamandeep Pallav

School of Foreign Languages, IGNOU, New Delhi

Email: pallavchamandeep@gmail.com

Sujeet Kumar

Jai Prakash University, Chapra

sujeetujp1@gmail.com

Abstract: Language learning is a complex and dynamic field. This paper explores various facets of it, drawing on research from linguistics, educational psychology, and technological advancements. It integrates findings related to specific linguistic features, the role of artificial intelligence and multimedia in language pedagogy, and the significant impact of learner and teacher mindsets. This paper puts specific focus on Dr. Chandan Suman's contribution to comparative linguistics and the theory of mindset, as well as other seminal research work in the subject, to present a thorough analysis of existing knowledge and how it applies to foreign language education.

Keywords: Language Learning, Growth Mindset, Artificial Intelligence in Education, Comparative Linguistics, Foreign Language Pedagogy

Introduction to Language Learning Paradigms:

Language learning is a complex process that is affected by linguistic organisation, teaching methods, and psychological variables. Successful language learning encompasses not only the acquisition of grammatical structures and lexis but also the knowledge of cultural aspects and a learning attitude with resilience. This paper explores such interrelated fields, offering an exhaustive survey of existing research and its implications for foreign language teaching. The continued development of knowledge in second language acquisition (SLA) underscores the importance of interdisciplinary strategies taking into account for linguistic, cognitive, and affective aspects (Ellis, 2008).

Linguistic Studies: Angika and Comparative Perspectives.

Research into various linguistic systems provides significant insights into language acquisition. Angika, an Indo-Aryan language spoken mainly within the Anga region of India, has a rich linguistic and historical background to provide insight into language development and identity. Historically, Angika has been written in the Anga Lipi script, then Kaithi, and finally Devanagari. Awareness of such historical changes and linguistic connections is essential for well-rounded language instruction (Angika - Wikipedia; Anga Lipi - Wikipedia; Grierson, 1903).

Comparative linguistics, especially of Indo-European languages, emphasises common ancestries and branching evolutionary trends. Dr. Chandan Suman and other researchers have thoroughly investigated the similarity and dissimilarity between Russian and Sanskrit, Russian and Hindi, with regard to different categories of grammar:

Grammatical Gender: Comparative research, including between Russian and Arabic (Suman, 2023i) and Hindi and Russian (Suman & Suman, 2023b), explains how various languages classify nouns as masculine or feminine and the difficulty this presents for learners. Grammatical gender acquisition is a well-documented difficulty for different language pairings (Larsen-Freeman & Long, 1991).

Case Systems: The complex grammatical case systems in languages such as Russian and Sanskrit (Suman, 2023h), Russian and Arabic (Suman & Shaban, 2023b), and Russian and Hindi (Suman & Kiran, 2023c) are essential domains to study. It is essential to know the typological and functional views of case systems for language learning and translation. These are challenging morphological issues for language learners (Comrie, 1989).

Numerals: Comparative analyses of cardinal and ordinal numbers in Sanskrit and Russian show interesting linguistic affinities and shed light on numerical representation across languages (Suman, 2019a; Suman, 2019b). These comparisons add to our knowledge of universal and language-specific numerical thinking (Dehaene, 1997).

Linguistic and Cultural Affinity: Apart from grammar, the linguistic similarity between Russian and Sanskrit (Suman, 2021) and the general cultural similarity between Russia and India (Suman, 2019c) highlight profound historical ties that can contribute to cross-cultural understanding in language learning. These similarities can assist in the transfer of learning and boost learner motivation (Kramsch, 1998).

Syntactical Structure: Comparative syntactic structures analyses, for example, between Russian and Sanskrit (Suman, 2022), bring attention to similarities and contrasts in word order, verb conjugations, and agreement systems, that are indispensable for higher-level language command. Syntactic structures identification is at the heart of Universal Grammar and language acquisition theories (Chomsky, 1965).

Grammatical Number: A comparison of Russian, Sanskrit (Suman, 2023g), Russian and Hindi (Suman & Kiran, 2023b), and Russian and Arabic (Suman, 2023f) grammatical number systems gives a clear idea about how quantity is expressed in languages and its morphological, syntactic, and semantic features. The differences help in correct and natural language usage.

Translatability of Tenses: Translatability of tenses from Russian to English poses special challenges because of the differences in grammatical categories and aspectual meanings, demanding a profound understanding of each of these two languages' temporal systems (Suman, 2016b). This field emphasises the challenges of cross-linguistic semantic mapping (Baker, 1992).

Mnemonics for Adjective Endings: Mnemonics are useful memory aids to language learning, especially for intricate grammatical rules such as Russian adjective endings (Suman, 2016a). The success of mnemonic devices in vocabulary and grammar learning has long been reported in cognitive psychology and language pedagogy (Atkinson, 1975).

Technological Advances in Language Pedagogy

The incorporation of technology has transformed foreign language learning and teaching.

Artificial Intelligence (AI): AI provides new solutions for adaptive and personalised language learning. These are augmented by real-time translation and pronunciation aids, content personalisation with AI, grammar guides, and language learning communities curated by AI. AI chatbots can mimic conversations in real life, while VR platforms based on AI deliver immersive environments for applied language usage. Feedback systems powered by AI scan speech patterns, accent, rhythm, and intonation for accurate feedback to improve pronunciation and fluency (Suman & Suman, 2023a). The use of AI to revolutionise Computer-Assisted Language Learning (CALL) has remained a developing field of study (Chapelle, 2001; Syzdykova et al., 2023).

Multimedia Integration: Multimedia technologies greatly improve the efficiency of foreign language instruction by offering an immersive and interactive learning experience. Utilising videos, audio snippets, interactive games, and internet resources enhances learner involvement and supports multiple learning styles. Multimedia enables the provision of a psychologically relaxed environment, bridges learning barriers, and enables foreign language communicative competence development (Suman, 2023e). CALL studies agree on the advantages of multimedia in developing overall language skills such as listening, speaking, reading, and writing (Felix, 2005; Mayer, 2001).

Psychological Dimensions: Mindset and Motivation in Language Learning

Psychological influences, in specific mindset and motivation, are crucial determinants of the success of language learning. Dr. Chandan Suman's research is very important in this discipline and tends to expand on developed theories in educational psychology.

Growth Mindset (Carol Dweck's Theory): The main theory of growth mindset, formulated by Professor Carol S. Dweck, assumes that people's ideas regarding their intelligence and capabilities have a great impact on how they deal with difficulties and learn. Dweck (2006) makes a distinction between a fixed mindset (fixed ability belief) and a growth mindset (ability to be developed through persistence and effort). When it comes to language learning, students with a growth mindset welcome challenges, become resilient, concentrate on improvement, and learn to love learning. Growth mindset promotes risk-taking and considering errors as learning points instead of failures (Suman, 2023k). Dr. Suman's work also looks into how growth mindset students are naturally more adept at learning foreign languages (Suman, 2023k), and how developing

potential entails revealing this language of growth mindset (Suman, 2018c). The value placed on developing this mindset in schools is also emphasised (Suman, 2023d).

Teachers' Mindset: Teachers' mindsets regarding their teaching abilities and students' capabilities play a major role in shaping the learning environment. A growth mindset among teachers creates an encouraging and stimulating classroom in which students are willing to take risks and learn from mistakes. Literature, such as Suman (2023j), suggests that the mindsets of teachers involved in foreign language teaching can have an impact on the performance of students through self-fulfilling prophecies and developing a favourable learning environment (Rosenthal & Jacobson, 1968).

Implications of Praise and Feedback: The kind of feedback and praise provided by teachers has great implications for a student's mindset. The kind of praise that emphasizes effort, strategy, and process (process praise) compared to fixed ability (person praise) promotes a growth mindset, leading to persistence and a positive stance towards challenges (Dweck, 2006; Suman, 2023a). Good feedback has been understood to be a strong driver of learning outcomes (Hattie & Timperley, 2007).

Intrinsic Motivation: Intrinsic motivation, the internal desire to participate in things for their own sake, is the foundation of lifelong learning. It motivates persistence, resilience, and more investment in language learning. Autonomy, competence, and relatedness, as foundational elements of Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan, 2000), are important drivers that promote intrinsic motivation. While intrinsic rewards may have positive short-term effects, they may at other times destroy intrinsic motivation, which has been discussed in numerous learning psychology contexts and is implicated in the effect of intrinsic motivation on learning (Suman, 2023b).

Influence on Academic Success: A growth mindset and intrinsic motivation have a strong connection with enhanced academic success. They help students overcome challenges, welcome challenges, and have a positive mindset towards the learning process, resulting in better long-term results. This wide influence of mindset on academic success has been widely examined (Suman, 2023c).

Motivational Meaning in Verbal Communication: The organization of motivational meaning in verbal communication consists of prime factors including purpose, authenticity, emotional appeal, and interaction with the audience. These factors play a significant role in motivating and directing learners, creating closer links to the learning content, and encouraging a growth mindset towards language acquisition (Suman, 2018a). Concepts of persuasive communication and rhetoric apply here (Aristotle, 350 BCE/1991).

Growth Mindset Language in the Classroom: The particular words teachers utilise within the classroom are crucial to facilitating a growth mindset in students. Fostering effort, honouring progress, and viewing errors as learning opportunities using overt "growth mindset language" are

key teaching techniques (Suman, 2018b). This is in accord with constructivist instructional and learning principles (Vygotsky, 1978).

Cognitive Load and Mindset: The cognitive load (the overall quantity of mental effort being engaged in the working memory) and mindset interaction emphasise how students' perceptions about their capability can impact their ability to process and store new information. Growth mindset may possibly counteract the harmful effects of high cognitive load by encouraging persistence and problem-solving approaches (Suman, 2012a). Such is based on cognitive load theory (Sweller, 1988).

Mindset Attitudes towards Foreign Language Learning: Self-image, inhibition, risk-taking, ego-permeability, and ambiguity need to be explored to understand students' attitudes towards foreign language learning in the mindset approach. Learners are encouraged to take risks, minimise inhibition, and embrace the ambiguities involved in language acquisition with a growth mindset (Suman, 2012b). Affective aspects such as anxiety and desire to communicate play a significant role in SLA (MacIntyre, 1999).

Conclusion: Language study is an active area that draws significantly from cross-disciplinary research. The linguistic studies of languages such as Angika, Russian, Sanskrit, Hindi, and Arabic yield deep roots of comparative grammar and historical linkages. At the same time, the strategic fusion of AI and multimedia provides robust means for individualized, interactive, and effective language training. Most importantly, the psychological

models of motivation and mindset, specifically the growth mindset, enable learners and teachers alike to embrace the difficulties of language learning with determination and perseverance toward improvement. Combining all these various perspectives, language learning can be made an easier, more effective, and more gratifying endeavour for everyone.

References

1. Angika - Wikipedia. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Anga_Lipi

2. Anga Lipi - Wikipedia. (n.d.). Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Angika

3. Aristotle. (1991). *On rhetoric: A theory of civic discourse* (G. A. Kennedy, Trans.). Oxford University Press. (Original work published ca. 350 BCE)

4. Atkinson, R. C. (1975). Mnemotechnics in second-language learning. *American Psychologist*, 30(8), 821–828.

5. Baker, M. (1992). *In light of theta: A study in English anaphora*. University of Chicago Press.

6. Bandura, A. (1997). *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. W. H. Freeman and Company.

7. Chapelle, C. A. (2001). *Computer applications in second language acquisition: Foundations for research*. Cambridge University Press.

8. Chomsky, N. (1965). *Aspects of the theory of syntax*. MIT Press.

9. Comrie, B. (1989). *Language universals and linguistic typology* (2nd ed.). University of Chicago Press.

10. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227–268.

11. Dehaene, S. (1997). *The number sense: How the mind creates mathematics*. Oxford University Press.

12. Dweck, C. S. (2006). *Mindset: The new psychology of success*. Random House.

13. Ellis, R. (2008). *The study of second language acquisition* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

14. Felix, U. (2005). *Analysing discourse on the world wide web*. Continuum.

15. Grierson, G. A. (1903). *Linguistic survey of India, Vol. V, Part II: Indo-Aryan family, Eastern Group, Specimens of the Bihārī and Oriyā languages*. Office of the Superintendent of Government Printing, India.

16. Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The Power of Feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77(1), 81–112.

17. Kramsch, C. (1998). *Language and culture*. Oxford University Press.

18. Larsen-Freeman, D., & Long, M. H. (1991). *An introduction to second language acquisition research*. Longman.

19. MacIntyre, P. D. (1999). Language anxiety: A review of the research for language teachers. In P. J. D. MacIntyre (Ed.), *The modern language journal*, 83(2), 269-270.

20. Mayer, R. E. (2001). *Multimedia learning*. Cambridge University Press.

21. Rosenthal, R., & Jacobson, L. (1968). *Pygmalion in the classroom: Teacher expectation and pupils' intellectual development*. Holt, Rinehart & Winston.

22. Suman, C. (2012a, March 14). Cognitive Load and Mindset: A Comprehensive Analysis. 2(1), 1–7. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374117431_Cognitive_Load_and_Mindset_A_Comprehensive_Analysis

23. Suman, C. (2012b, March 14). Attitudes of Mindset Towards Foreign Language Learning: Exploring Self-Image, Inhibition, Risk-taking, Ego-Permeability and Ambiguity. 2(1), 8–14. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374117236_Attitudes_of_Mindset_Towards_Foreign_Language_Learning_Exploring_Self-

_Image_Inhibition_Risk-taking_Ego-Permeability_and_Ambiguity

24. Suman, C. (2016a, April 1). MNEMONIC FOR ADJECTIVE ENDINGS IN RUSSIAN LANGUAGE. 1(2), 48–53. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344295955_MNEMONIC_FOR_ADJECTIVE_ENDINGS_IN_RUSSIAN_LANGUAGE

25. Suman, C. (2016b, April 1). Translatability of Tenses from Russian to English and vice versa. 1(2), 54–60. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344295978_Translatability_of_Tenses_from_Russian_to_English_and_vice_versa

26. Suman, C. (2018a, April 30). Structure of Motivational Meaning in Verbal Communication. 3(1), 1–7. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380817539_Structure_of_Motivational_Meaning_in_Verbal_Communication

27. Suman, C. (2018b, March 31). Growth Mindset Language in the Classroom. 3(1), 8–14. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/380817296_Growth_Mindset_Language_in_the_Classroom

28. Suman, C. (2018c, March 30). Cultivating Potential: Unveiling the Language of Growth Mindset. 3(1), 15–21. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/379001105_Cultivating_Potential_Unveiling_the_Language_of_Growth_Mindset

29. Suman, C. (2019a, May 22). Comparative Analysis of Cardinal Numerals of Russian and Sanskrit Languages. 4(2), 1–7. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374117522_Comparative_Analysis_of_Cardinal_Numerals_of_Russian_and_Sanskrit_Languages

30. Suman, C. (2019b, November 22). Analysis of Ordinal Numerals of Russian and Sanskrit Languages: A Comparative Study. 4(2), 8–14. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374117515_Analysis_of_Ordinal_Numerals_of_Russian_and_Sanskrit_Languages_A_Comparative_Study

31. Suman, C. (2019c, May 22). Cultural affinity between Russia and India. 4(2), 27–33. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374224097_Cultural_affinity_between_Russia_and_India

32. Suman, C. (2021, October 27). Linguistic Affinity between Russian and Sanskrit Language. 6(2), 52–58. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374199693_Linguistic_Affinity_between_Russian_and_Sanskrit_Language

33. Suman, C. (2022, October 27). A Comparative Study of Syntactical Structure of Russian and Sanskrit Languages. 7(1), 1–7. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374201882_A_Comparative_Study_of_Syntactical_Structure_of_Russian_and_Sanskrit_Languages

34. Suman, C. (2023, July 10). Cultivating a Growth-Oriented Mindset in Educational Settings. 8(2), 61–67. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372566995_Cultivating_a_Growth-Oriented_Mindset_in_Educational_Settings

35. Suman, C. (2023, July 10). Implication of Feedback and Praise on Mindset. 8(2), 40–46. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372570379_Implication_of_Feedback_and_Praise_on_Mindset

36. Suman, C. (2023, July 10). Implications of Intrinsic Motivation and Mindset on Learning. 8(2), 47–53. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372568040_Implications_of_Intrinsic_Motivation_and_Mindset_on_Learning

37. Suman, C. (2023, July 10). Impact of Mindset on Academic Achievement: A Comprehensive Review. 8(2), 54–60. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372567428_Impact_of_Mindset_on_Academic_Achievement_A_Comprehensive_Review

38. Suman, C. (2023, July 10). Teachers' Mindset Engaged in Teaching Foreign Language. 8(2), 26–32. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372570495_Teachers'_Mindset_Engaged_in_Teaching_Foreign_Language

39. Suman, C. (2023, July 10). Students with Growth Mindset are Good at Foreign Language Learning. 8(2), 33–39. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372570396_Students_with_Growth_Mindset_are_Good_at_Foreign_Language_Learning

40. Suman, C. (2023, August 15). A Comparison of Grammatical Gender of Russian and Arabic. 8(3), 75–81. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374119394_A_Comparison_of_Grammatical_Gender_of_Russian_and_Arabic

41. Suman, C. (2023, August 15). A Cross-Linguistic Study of Grammatical Number of Russian and Arabic. 8(3), 117–123. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374119006_A_Cross-Linguistic_study_of_Grammatical_Number_of_Russian_and_Arabic

42. Suman, C. (2023, July 10). Comparative Analysis of The Grammatical Number System in Russian and Sanskrit.   8(3), 110–116. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/372570230_Comparative_analysis_of_Grammatical_Number_System_in_Russian_and_Sanskrit

43. Suman, C. (2023, August 15). A Study of the Grammatical Case System of Russian and Arabic. 8(3), 82–88. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374119463_A_Study_of_the_Grammatical_Case_System_of_Russian_and_Arabic

44. Suman, C. (2023, August 15). The Effective Integration of Multimedia in Foreign Language Teaching. 8(3), 68–74. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374119410_The_Effective_Integration_of_Multimedia_in_Foreign_Language_Teaching

45. Suman, C. (2024, June 30). Eavesdroppers on Our Own Lives: How Implicit Learning Shapes Conscious Communication. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/393647750_Eavesdroppers_on_Our_Own_Lives_How_Implicit_Learning_Shapes_Conscious_Communication

46. Suman, C., & Kiran, J. (2023, August 15). Grammatical Case Systems in Russian and Hindi Languages.   8(3), 89–95. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374119550_Grammatical_Case_Systems_in_Russian_and_Hindi_Languages

47. Suman, C., & Kiran, J. (2023, August 15). Grammatical Number of Russian and Hindi.   8(3), 103–109. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374119389_Grammatical_Number_of_Russian_and_Hindi

48. Suman, C., Kiran, J., & Kumar, S. (2023a, August 15). Angika Territory: Unraveling Geographical Extent and Linguistic Identity. 8(3), 44–52. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374119574_Angika_Territory_Unraveling_Geographical_Extent_and_Linguistic_Identity

49. Suman, C., Kiran, J., & Kumar, S. (2023b, August 15). Script of Angika: A Historical Writing System.   8(3), 53–59. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374119569_Script_of_Angika_A_Historical_Writing_System

50. Suman, C., & Suman, S. (2023a, August 15). Using Artificial Intelligence for Foreign Language Learning.   8(3), 60–67. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374119563_Using_Artificial_Intelligence_for_Foreign_Language_Learning

51. Suman, C., & Suman, S. (2023b, August 15). Grammatical Gender

of Hindi and Russian Languages. 8(3), 96–102. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374119407_Grammatical_Gender_of_Hindi_and_Russian_Languages

52. Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive Load Theory. *Educational Psychologist*, 23(3), 257–285.

53. Syzdykova, Z., Akhmetova, L., Bektembayeva, S., Balgabayeva, M., & Zhetpisbayeva, A. (2023). AI-based tools for enhancing foreign language learning: A literature review. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, 19(2), 221-236.

54. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.